# Relationship between genetic and environmental factors and hypercholesterolemia in children

Jorge A. Robledo, B.S.a, and Leonardo J. Siccardi, B.S.a

#### ABSTRACT

*Introduction.* Pediatric hypercholesterolemia has increased over the past decades. Knowing the environmental and genetic factors that have an impact on it would allow establishing more adequate screening guidelines.

*Objectives.* To determine if there is an association between genetic and environmental factors and hypercholesterolemia in children. To assess the predictive qualities of outcome measures associated with hypercholesterolemia.

*Material and methods.* Observational, analytical, cross-sectional study. Population: students from all schools located in Jovita. Age:  $\geq$  6 and < 12 years old. The total cholesterol level was measured. A survey was administered to parents to assess their family medical history (FMH) and socioeconomic level (SEL). Weight and height were recorded to establish nutritional status. A survey was administered to children to identify their level of physical activity and their eating habits. The association was assessed by estimating the OR value (p < 0.05). Diagnostic tests were done to establish outcome measures that predict hypercholesterolemia.

*Results*. Three hundred and eighty-two students were included. Their mean cholesterol level was 168 mg/dL, and 13.4% had hypercholesterolemia. A sedentary lifestyle was observed in 22.8%, and obesity, in 10.5%. A positive FMH, a high/ middle SEL, and obesity were associated with hypercholesterolemia (OR: 2.10, 2.10 and 2.05, respectively). No association was found between physical activity and fat/cholesterol intake and hypercholesterolemia. A positive FMH and a high/middle SEL were sensitive enough (75% and 88%) to predict hypercholesterolemia. The presence of hypercholesterolemia in both parents in relation to hypercholesterolemia in their child showed an OR of 9.59, a sensitivity of 73%, a specificity of 71%, a positive predictive value of 57%, and a negative predictive value of 83%. Conclusions. A positive FMH, a high/ middle SEL, and obesity were associated with hypercholesterolemia in children. The presence of hypercholesterolemia in both parents was associated with hypercholesterolemia in their child and showed itself to be a great potential predictor and screening criterion.

**Key words**: hypercholesterolemia, risk factors, children, parents

http://dx.doi.org/10.5546/aap.2016.eng.419

a. Inter-Agency
Program for Health
Prevention and
Education (Programa
Interinstitucional
de Prevención y
Educación en Salud,
PIPES), Jovita.
Córdoba. Argentina.

E-mail address: Jorge A. Robledo, B.S., pipes.jovita@gmail.com

Funding: This study was conducted thanks to the financial and material contributions made by the Ministry of Health of the Province of Córdoba, the Municipality of Jovita, Laboratorios Roemmers, Laboratorios Monserrat y Eclair, Wiener Lab, Metrolab S.A., Droguería Nor, Droguería Cofarsur, and Contimedios S.A.

Conflict of interest: None.

Received: 01-04-2016 Accepted: 05-05-2016

#### INTRODUCTION

The Horus study demonstrated that atherosclerosis has been present in human beings since at least 2500 B.C.<sup>1</sup>

The prolongation of current life expectancy has resulted in heart disease to be one of the main causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide. In addition, related risk factors have also increased, including hypercholesterolemia, obesity, arterial hypertension, smoking, and a sedentary lifestyle.<sup>2</sup>

Autopsies done in young soldiers killed in the Korean War<sup>3</sup> and the Pathobiological Determinants of Atherosclerosis in Youth (PDAY) study<sup>4</sup> show that atherosclerosis may begin at an early age.

The prevalence and mean values of hypercholesterolemia in children has increased in many countries,<sup>5</sup> mainly due to changes in eating habits<sup>6</sup> and the increase in the time spent in sedentary occupational and recreational activities.<sup>7</sup> An association has been described between hypercholesterolemia and a higher socioeconomic status,<sup>8</sup> whereas results have been dissimilar in relation to obesity, sometimes even contradictory.<sup>9</sup>

Some experts recommend establishing the cholesterol level as part of a universal screening. 10 The Argentine Society of Pediatrics (Sociedad Argentina de Pediatría, SAP), 11 in concordance with the American Academy of Pediatrics, recommends assessing children whose parents and/or grandparents have early vascular disease or whose parents have hypercholesterolemia. This method lacks predictive value. 12

The goal of this study was to assess

outcome measures that may be associated with hypercholesterolemia in children and look for new screening criteria.

#### **OBJECTIVES**

To determine if there is an association between genetic and environmental factors and hypercholesterolemia in children.

To assess the predictive qualities of outcome measures associated with hypercholesterolemia.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

Observational, analytical, cross-sectional study conducted in April-May 2009.

#### **Population**

All students attending primary school (n= 503) in the municipality of Jovita, Córdoba (Argentina) during the 2009 school year.

#### **Inclusion** criteria

- Being ≥ 6 and < 12 years old by June 30th, 2009.
- Having obtained an informed consent signed by the father, mother or legal guardian and the minor's assent, if applicable.

#### **Exclusion criteria**

- Refusing to participate in the blood draw or missing the appointment.
- Having an infectious disease at present or within 20 days before the blood draw.<sup>13</sup>
- Having nephrotic syndrome, hypothyroidism, or diabetes.

#### **METHODOLOGY**

#### Cholesterol level outcome measure

Blood drawn from a vein upon fasting for at least 10 hours was used. Total cholesterol (TC) level was measured using the enzymatic AA liquid Colestat method (Wiener Lab). Hypercholesterolemia was defined as equal to or higher than 200 mg/dL. Serum was separated within the first hour following the blood draw, and tests were done in the same day using a Metrolab 2100 autoanalyzer. The technique used to measure cholesterol was subjected to a traceability control through the Reference and Standardization Lab for Clinical Biochemistry (Laboratorio de Referencia y Estandarización en Bioquímica Clínica, LARESBIC) of the Argentine Biochemistry Foundation (Fundación Bioquímica Argentina), and the corresponding certificate was obtained from the Cholesterol Reference Method Laboratory Network (CDC, Atlanta, USA).

Cholesterol levels were defined as high ( $\geq 200 \text{ mg/dL}$ ), desirable (< 170 mg/dL), and moderately high (170-199 mg/dL).<sup>14</sup>

#### Hereditary and sociocultural outcome measures

Family medical history (FMH): FMH was assessed using a survey designed by the authors in compliance with the SAP guidelines (*Annex 1*). It was dichotomized into positive FMH or unknown/negative FMH. Positive FMH was defined as any grandparent or parent having any of the vascular diseases described in the survey or both parents having hypercholesterolemia.

Socioeconomic level (SEL): SEL was assessed using the instrument designed by the Child Nutrition Study Center (*Centro de Estudios Sobre Nutrición Infantil*). <sup>15</sup> It was also regarded as a dichotomous outcome measure (high/middle SEL or low SEL).

Nutritional status (NS): Weight and height were measured following the SAP guidelines for epidemiological studies. <sup>16</sup> Body mass index was estimated, and NS was classified as per the International Obesity Task Force tables. <sup>17</sup> NS was dichotomized into NS obesity or NS overweight/normal weight.

**Diet (D) and physical activity (PA):** Fat and cholesterol intake and PA level were assessed using surveys designed by specialists in the field (*Annexes 2 and 3*). Surveys were self-administered under the supervision and advice of the teachers of students participating in the study, who were trained in advance by the authors. Diet was semi-quantified in grams of fat and cholesterol, divided into tertiles, and classified into upper, middle and lower tertile. Diet was dichotomized into D-upper or D-middle/lower. PA was semi-quantified into METs, divided into tertiles, and dichotomized into PA sedentary or PA moderately sedentary/active.

Parental cholesterol level: This specific information was obtained from the parents' answers to the FMH survey. This was considered a categorical outcome measure: both parents with hypercholesterolemia and both parents with normal cholesterol level. Children who had only one parent with hypercholesterolemia were excluded.

**Note:** Given that there are no criteria established for the dichotomization of cholesterol level and hereditary and sociocultural outcome measures, statistical tests were done using every possible combination, and this classification was adopted based on those that actually evidenced an association.

#### Statistical analysis

The cholesterol level outcome measure was considered numerical to estimate central tendency and dispersion, and categorical to establish prevalence values as per cut-off points agreed upon by the American Academy of Pediatrics. 14,18

Crude associations between each hereditary and sociocultural outcome measure and the cholesterol level response outcome measure were studied as categorical, dichotomous outcomes, and contingency tables were used to measure the power of the association using odds ratios, and the statistical significance was analyzed using Fisher's exact test.

The associations and relative power among independent outcome measures were studied using a logistic linear regression, estimating the odds ratio for each outcome measure as adjusted by the rest.

The association between parents who indicated to have hypercholesterolemia in the FMH survey and those who indicated to have normal cholesterol levels, and the cholesterol level response outcome measure in children was examined.

Tests were done to establish diagnosis, sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values, and positive and negative likelihood ratios using the outcome measures that showed an association with hypercholesterolemia.

For the statistical analysis, the InfoStat software, version 2012 (Grupo InfoStat, FCA, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Argentina) was used.

#### **Ethical considerations**

The methodological design applied in our research and the informed consent were assessed and approved by the Institutional Ethics and Health Research Committee of Polo Hospitalario (March 30th, 2009) of the city of Córdoba. Data confidentiality was maintained.

Results were delivered to parents in a sealed envelope and a recommendation was made to have their local hospital or family physician look at them.

#### RESULTS

Out of the 503 students in the study population, 20 were excluded because they were older than 12 years of age or had a concomitant disease, 16 did not sign the informed consent, and 85 failed to complete all survey data or missed their blood draw appointment. The final number of assessed students was 382, which accounts for 79% of the eligible group (95% confidence interval [CI]: 75%-82%).

Participants' median age was 9.08 years old, ranging from 6.05 to 11.99 years old; 46.3% were girls. The average cholesterol level and standard deviation were  $168 \pm 28 \text{ mg/dL}$ . The cholesterol level was higher than desirable in 45.6% of the population (13.4%, high and 32.2%, moderately high).

Table 1 shows the distribution of cases by hereditary and sociocultural outcome measures.

The results of the bivariate analysis of hereditary and sociocultural outcome measures and the TC response outcome measure are

Table 1. Prevalence by	i category of hereditary	and sociocultural	outcome measures	(n=382)
I ADLE 1. I ICUMENCE DE	i caleyola ol heleallala	unu sociocununu	UNICUITE HEUSUICS	$(\mu - 302)$

Outcome measure	Classification	n	%
Family medical history	Positive	189	49.5
	Unknown	97	25.4
	Negative	96	25.1
Socioeconomic level	High	106	27.7
	Middle	198	51.8
	Low	78	20.4
Nutritional status	Obesity	40	10.5
	Overweight	56	14.7
	Normal weight	286	74.9
Physical activity	Sedentary	87	22.8
	Moderately sedentary	211	55.2
	Active	84	22.0
Diet (fat/cholesterol intake)	Upper	102	26.7
	Middle	171	44.8
	Lower	109	28.5

shown in Table 2.

An association was found between a positive FMH and hypercholesterolemia, OR 2.10, 95% CI: 1.32-3.34, and p = 0.017. A high/middle SEL showed the same OR (2.10), a 95% CI of 1.32-3.36 and a p value of 0.096. NS obesity showed an OR of 2.05, a 95% CI of 0.90-4.66, and a p value of 0.076.

No association was observed between hypercholesterolemia and either D upper (p= 0.54) or PA sedentary (p= 0.39).

Hypercholesterolemia in both parents showed an OR of 9.59, a 95% CI of 1.29-57.09 and a p value of 0.011 in relation to hypercholesterolemia in their child (*Table 3*).

The multiple logistic regression analysis indicated in *Table 4* shows agreement with the findings of the bivariate analysis.

*Table 5* shows the results of the diagnostic tests.

#### **DISCUSSION**

Between 1997 and 2003, our team conducted two cholesterol screenings in the student population. In 1997, 756 children and adolescents (5-17 years of age) were assessed, and 15.7% had a cholesterol level above 170 mg/dL. Then in 2003, out of 1050 subjects in the same age group, the percentage of individuals with that cholesterol level had increased to 39.2%. As a result, between 2003 and 2005, an education intervention aimed at the promotion of healthy habits was successfully implemented in all schools. The results of these experiences led to the formulation of this study's purpose and objectives.

The hypercholesterolemia prevalence observed in this study reveals an alarming epidemiological situation, even though it is consistent with what other studies conducted in different Argentinean regions have reported.<sup>21,22</sup>

Table 2. Results from the bivariate statistical analysis of categorical, dichotomous outcome measures (n=382)

Outcome measure	Classification		To	tal chole						
		≥ 200		< 200		Total		OR (*) 95% CI		p (#)
		n	%	n	%	n	%			
Family medical history	Positive	33	64.7	156	47.1	189	49.5	2.10	(1.32-3.34)	0.017
	Unknown/negative	18	35.3	175	52.9	193	50.5			
Socioeconomic level	High/middle	45	88.2	259	78.2	304	79.6	2.10	(1.32-3.36)	0.096
	Low	6	11.8	72	21.8	78	20.4			
Nutritional status	Obesity	9	17.6	31	9.4	40	10.5	2.05	(0.90-4.66)	0.076
	Overweight/									
	normal weight	42	82.4	300	90.6	342	89.5			
Physical activity	Sedentary	14	27.5	73	22.1	87	22.8	1.32	(0.73-2.38)	0.442
	Moderately sedentary/									
	active	37	72.5	258	77.9	295	77.2			
Diet	Upper	15	29.4	87	26.3	102	26.7	1.18	(0.68-2.04)	0.618
(fat/cholesterol intake)	Middle/lower	36	70.6	244	73.7	280	73.3			

OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval.

Table 3. Cholesterol level correlation between children with cholesterol  $\geq 200 \text{ mg/dL}$  or < 200 mg/dL and both parents with hypercholesterolemia ( $\geq 240 \text{ mg/dL}$ ) or normal cholesterol level (< 240 mg/dL)

		Children's cholesterol level (n= 32)								
Outcome measure	Classification	≥	200	< 2	200	To	otal	OR	95% CI	p
		n	%	n	%	n	%			
Parents' cholesterol level	Both ≥ 240	8	23.5	6	3.9	14	43.8	0.50	(1.20.57.00)	0.011
	Both normal	3	8.8	15	9.8	18	56.3	9.59	(1.29-57.09)	0.011

OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval.

<sup>(\*)</sup> Mantel-Haenszel odds ratio; (#) Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test.

The level of concern grows if we observe that a fourth of children (25.2%) are overweight or obese, their fat and cholesterol intake is high, and 78% are sedentary or moderately sedentary.

PA and diet surveys have not provided the expected results in terms of prediction or association with hypercholesterolemia. It may be argued that the different levels of susceptibility in each individual resulted in dissimilar answers to external stimuli. It was decided that surveys should not be completed together with or by parents themselves for fear that the information provided by adults would be false; however, having children complete the surveys themselves, even if guided by their teachers, may pose doubts regarding the recall of their actual weekly intake given that these children, especially the younger ones, may not be capable of adequately measuring food intake or motor activities.

The *p* value obtained for NS obesity and SEL high/middle was not lower than the significance level specified in the methodology; however, in order to investigate the association structure and considering that the sample accounts for practically the entire population (79%), in this study we decided to consider as positive the association between hypercholesterolemia and NS obesity and SEL high/middle, given that the OR was > 2.

The association observed between hypercholesterolemia and SEL is consistent with the findings of other study conducted in Río Cuarto, Córdoba, by Doctor Lubetkin in the child and adolescent population.8

Obesity was found in 10.5%. There is a popular tendency to relate obese subjects with hypercholesterolemia.

Although a previous study showed that this association had varying results and differences among countries in terms of culture, ethnicity and gender, a relatively strong association was observed.9 The sensitivity and positive predictive value of NS were low, but its specificity and negative predictive value were high, and this may indicate a relationship between nonobese children and those who do not have hypercholesterolemia.

A limitation of this study may be that it included overweight and normal weight children in the same category.

Although the specificity of a positive FMH was low, as reported in other studies,23 its sensitivity was adequate, so it may be considered a predictor of hypercholesterolemia in this type of population, as suggested by pediatric societies. 11,14

The 2008 American Academy of Pediatrics' guidelines14 describe certain level of concern regarding the epidemic of childhood obesity

Table 4. Multivariate logistic regression analysis of hereditary and sociocultural outcome measures in relation to cholesterol level (n=382)

Regression outcome measures	Dependent outcome measure: cholesterol level						
	OR	95% CI	χ2	p			
Positive family medical history	2.20	(1.18-4.13)	6.07	0.014			
High/middle socioeconomic level	2.12	(0.85-5.25)	2.60	0.107			
Nutritional status: obesity	2.15	(0.94-4.93)	3.25	0.071			
Physical activity: sedentary	1.60	(0.80-3.21)	1.79	0.180			
Diet (fat/cholesterol intake): upper tertile	1.34	(0.69-2.62)	0.75	0.388			

OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval.

Table 5. Predictive values of family medical history, socioeconomic level, nutritional status, and parental cholesterol level

	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV	LR+	LR-
Positive family medical history	75%	35%	17%	89%	1.16	0.71
High/middle socioeconomic level	88%	22%	15%	92%	1.13	0.54
Nutritional status: obesity	18%	91%	23%	88%	1.88	0.91
Both parents with hypercholesterolemia	73%	71%	57%	83%	2.55	0.38

PPV and NPV: positive and negative predictive value; LR+ and LR-: positive and negative likelihood ratio.

and propose introducing the screening for every overweight or obese children, regardless of their FMH.

If we had applied these criteria to our study, considering children with a positive or unknown FMH together with overweight or obese children with a negative FMH, we should have assessed 316 subjects (82.7% of the total 382). Paradoxically, out of the 66 children we would have left out of the assessment, 34 (51%) had a cholesterol level above 170 mg/dL; therefore, using these criteria, the difference between the guided screening and the universal screening would be irrelevant.

The latest recommendations made by expert meetings in the USA, established in 2011, introduce additional criteria suggesting the inclusion of children with other risk factors, such as hypertension, smoking, etc., and propose a universal screening for every child between 9 and 11 years of age and between 17 and 21 years of age. The SAP, in its latest consensus meeting, suggested the universal screening for children aged 6 to 11 years old, which is precisely what we did in this study. He was a stable of the study.

An analysis done by Kusters et al.23 regarding several European countries indicates that the screening based on FMH lacks accuracy and there is a high proportion of children who are left undiagnosed. The Netherlands, Norway, and the United Kingdom have a familial hypercholesterolemia detection program based on a deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) test done in family groups and an adequate technique, but it is costly. Slovenia performs a cholesterol screening in every pre-school child at 5 years of age. Italy proposes a screening based on FMH and ordered by pediatricians. Kusters et al. conclude by proposing that a universal screening in every child, from 1 to 9 years of age, is the most effective strategy, but this still has to be demonstrated in clinical practice. It is estimated that such massive strategy renders its implementation difficult.

A very strong correlation was observed in the analysis of hypercholesterolemia and normal cholesterol level in both parents whose children had the same condition, and diagnostic test results proved to be highly valuable. Failure to include in the analysis children who had only one parent with hypercholesterolemia may have been a limitation. Future studies are required to further analyze the relationship between the presence of hypercholesterolemia in one parent and their child's cholesterol level.

A review published by Catherine McNeal<sup>25</sup> states that dyslipemia in children and adults is the result of inherited or acquired conditions. The genetic bases for hypercholesterolemia are well defined, so transmission of this phenotype from parents to children is a fact and responds to the laws of inheritance.<sup>26,27</sup>

In addition, there are sociocultural characteristics, such as eating and physical activity habits, which tend to be common to the family and may have a positive or negative impact on hypercholesterolemia.<sup>28</sup>

The results of this study on the cholesterol level in parents and children, and the empirical, observational evidence supported by the studies conducted by our team for the past 18 years, indicate that there are plenty of cases of children with hypercholesterolemia whose parents also have it.

We recommend focusing studies on the relationship between the cholesterol level of parents and children to find tools that help to improve screening criteria.

#### **CONCLUSION**

No association was established between hypercholesterolemia and a sedentary lifestyle, or between hypercholesterolemia and a fat- and cholesterol-rich diet.

A positive FMH, a high/middle SEL, and obesity were associated with hypercholesterolemia.

An association was also found between the cholesterol level of both parents and that of their child and a high prediction value in diagnostic tests.

#### Acknowledgments

To Laura Moreno, M.D., tutor of Robledo's dissertation, one of the authors. This article is part of his dissertation.

To schools, teachers, the healthcare providers team, and volunteers who helped with the field work

To the scientific advisors and institutions who support the Inter-Agency Program for Health Prevention and Education (*Programa Interinstitucional de Prevención y Educación en Salud*, PIPES).

To Fernando Torres for his wise, generous advice.

To the School of Pharmacists of the Province of Córdoba.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Thompson RC, Allam AH, Lombardi GP, Wann LS, et al. Atherosclerosis across 4000 years of human history: the Horus study of four ancient populations. Lancet 2013; 381(9873):1211-22.
- Organización Mundial de la Salud. Informe sobre la Salud en el Mundo 2002. Reducir los riesgos y promover una vida sana. Ginebra: Organización Mundial de la Salud; 2002.
- 3. Enos WF, Holmes RH, Beyer J. Coronary disease among United States soldiers killed in action in Korea; preliminary report. J Am Med Assoc 1953;152(12):1090-3.
- Strong JP, Malcom GT, McMahan CA, Tracy RE, et al. Prevalence and extent of atherosclerosis in adolescents and young adults: implications for prevention from the Pathobiological Determinants of Atherosclerosis in Youth Study. JAMA 1999;281(8):727-35.
- Couch SC, Cross AT, Kida K, Ros E, et al. Rapid westernization of children's blood cholesterol in 3 countries: evidence for nutrient-gene interactions? Am J Clin Nutr 2000;72(5 Suppl):1266S-74S.
- Kobayashi S. Trends in national nutritional survey of Japan. Nutr Health 1992;8(2-3):91-6.
- Paterno CA. Factores de riesgo coronario en la adolescencia. Estudio FRICELA. Rev Esp Cardiol 2003;56(5):452-8.
- Granero M, Lubetkin A, Falappa JL. Valoración del colesterol y otros factores de riesgo de ateroesclerosis en niños y adolescentes: un desafío en medicina. Río Cuarto: Fundación de la Universidad de Río Cuarto; 1998. Págs. 26-
- Bingham MO, Harrell IS, Takada H, Washino K, et al. Obesity and cholesterol in Japanese, French, and U.S. children. J Pediatr Nurs 2009;24(4):314-22.
- 10. Berenson GS, Srinivasan SR. Consideration of serum cholesterol in risk factor profiling for all young individuals. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis 2001;11(Suppl 5):1-9.
- 11. Grupo de Hipercolesterolemia. Consenso sobre factores de riesgo de enfermedad cardiovascular en pediatría. Hipercolesterolemia. Arch Argent Pediatr 2005;103(4):358-
- 12. O'LoughlinJO, LauzonB, Paradis G, Hanley J, et al. Usefulness of the American Academy of Pediatrics recommendations for identifying youths with hypercholesterolemia. Pediatrics 2004;113(6):1723-7.
- 13. Bentz MH, Magnette J. Hypocholestérolémie au cours de la phase aiguë de la réaction inflammatoire d origine infectieuse. À propos de 120 cas. Rev Med Interne 1998;19(3):168-72.

- 14. Daniels SR, Greer FR. Lipid screening and cardiovascular health in childhood. Pediatrics 2008:122(1):198-208.
- 15. CESNI-CLACYD. Encuesta de salud, nutrición y desarrollo. Mamás y niños del 2000. Córdoba: Municipalidad de Córdoba; 2000.
- 16. Comité Nacional de Crecimiento y Desarrollo. Guías para la evaluación del crecimiento. 2a ed. Buenos Aires: Sociedad Argentina de Pediatría; 2001.
- 17. Cole TJ, Flegal KM, Nicholls D, Jackson AA. Body mass index cut offs to define thinness in children and adolescents: international survey. BMJ 2007;335(7612):194.
- 18. Expert panel on integrated guidelines for cardiovascular health and risk reduction in children and adolescents: summary report. Pediatrics 2011;128(Suppl 5):S213-56.
- Lubetkin AM, Robledo JA, Siccardi LJ, Rodriguez MI. Prevalencia de hipercolesterolemia en la población estudiantil de una localidad de la provincia de Córdoba. Arch Argent Pediatr 2005;103(4):298-304.
- 20. Robledo JA, Siccardi LJ, Cosio F, Rodríguez MI, et al. Disminución de hipercolesterolemia infantojuvenil tras dos años de intervención. Arch Argent Pediatr 2009;107(6):488-
- 21. Pedrozo WR, Bonneau G, Castillo Rascón MS, Juárez M, et al. Valores de referencia y prevalencia de las alteraciones del perfil lipídico en adolescentes. Arch Argent Pediatr 2010;108(2):107-15.
- 22. Rosillo I, Pituelli N, Corbera M, Lioi S, et al. Perfil lipídico en niños y adolescentes de una población escolar. Arch Argent Pediatr 2005;103(4):293-7.
- 23. Kusters DM, de Beaufort C, Widhalm K, Guardamagna O, et al. Paediatric screening for hypercholesterolaemia in Europe. Arch Dis Child 2012;97(3):272-6.
- 24. Sociedad Argentina de Pediatría. Comité de Nutrición. Consenso sobre manejo de las dislipidemias en pediatría. Arch Argent Pediatr 2015;113(2):177-86.
- 25. McNeal CJ, Underland L, Wilson DP, Blackett PR. Pediatric lipid screening. Clin Lipidol 2013;8(4):425-36.
- Yuan G, Wang J, Hegele RA. Heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia: an underrecognized cause of early cardiovascular disease. CMAJ 2006;174(8):1124-9.
- 27. Soutar AK, Naoumova RP. Mechanisms of disease: genetic causes of familial hypercholesterolemia. Nat Clin Pract Cardiovasc Med 2007;4(4):214-25.
- $Grundy SM. Multifactorial {\it etiology} of hypercholesterolemia.$ Implications for prevention of coronary heart disease. Arterioscler Thromb 1991;11(6):1619-35.

# Annex 1 Survey on family medical history

eral Paz 75 – 6127 J	E			UNIII CENTRO JA INSTITUT	VERSIDAD I EDUCATI RDIN DE O FORMA	NACION PEM NO. : NO OTIL M INFANTES ACIÓN DO	AL DE RIC 221 "SAN 15 DE TO O. DE TO CENTE M	CUARTO CARLOS" VAGLIARI VAGLIARI SALOTTI IUNICIRAL	THE U INSTIT CENTE JARDII LABOR	NIVERSIT UTO DE E O EDUCA N DE INFA RATORIO I	DR.	nd Educ Alberto Lu RTH CAROLINA AT CI 127A MEDIA JOVITA MINGO E SARMIEN JOSE SARMIEN ISIS CLÍNICOS JOVITA PIPES.JOVITA	HAPEL H
Last and first	nam	es:											
Date of birth		I	D nu	mber	:	S	chool	:	Cl	ass:	Sec	cti on:	
		Ī	Pipes	5 – F	ami	ly m	edic	al hi	stor	<u>y</u>			
					Pers	onal	data						
		7	Weigh	nt at t	oirth:					]			
Has	the c	hild	been	diagr	osed	with	any	of the	foll	owing	g con	ditions?	
				Disea	ise			Yes	N	0			
			betes										
			hroti			ie	-						
		нур	oothy		5.0.	1 1-2-	4	-					
						ly hi		-					
			Befo	re turi	ning 5	5 year	s old:					ve had	
	-		sease	(	(II) Strok	e	Blocka	geinale	g artery			erolemia O mg/dL)	
	(1)	Heart di				DO DUIZ	Vac	No	DNK	Yes	No	DNK	
fa	(I) I Yes	No.	DNK	Yes	No	DNK	Yes	140	DIVIN				
Father Patemal grandfather	_	_	DNK	Yes	No	DINK	res	110	DIVIN				
Father Patemal grandfather Patemal grandmother	_	_	DNK	Yes	No	DINK	res	140	DIVIC				
Paternal grandfather	_	_	DNK	Yes									
Patemal grandfather Patemal grandmother	_	_	DNK	Yes	No	DNK	Yes	No	DNK	Yes	No	DNK	
Patemal grandfather Patemal grandmother Mother	Yes	No	DNK							Yes		DNK	
Patemal grandfather Patemal grandmother	Yes	No	DNK							Yes		DNK	
Patemal grandfather Patemal grandmother  Mother Maternal grandfather Maternal grandmother  I) I b	Yes Yes Heart tinch	No No disea udes:	DNK ise	Yes	No eath,	DNK	Yes ac fa	No ilure,	DNK	cardi	No al in	farction, co	
Patemal grandfather Patemal grandmother  Mother Maternal grandfather Maternal grandmother  I) I b II	Yes Yes  Yes  Ieart t incluypass	No No disea udes:	DNK ise Sude	Yes den d	No eath,	DNK cardi	Yes ac fa	No ilure,	myo ary a	cardi	No al in	farction, co	

## Annex 2 Survey on diet



Inter-Agency Program for Health Prevention and Education "DR. Alberto Lubetkin"

General Paz 75 – 6127 Jovita – Córdoba – Argentína / tel: 03384 – 498070 / e-mail address: pipes.jovita@gmail.com

Last and first names:

Date of birth:

ID number:

School:

Class:

Section:

#### EATING HABITS

ACTIVITY: Paint the empty boxes in the upper right corner of each picture.

- 1. Red means you eat it every day.
- 2. Blue means you eat it three or four times a week.
- 3. Yellow means you eat it once or twice a week.
- 4. Leave unpainted if you never eat this.



Cheese Chicken Vegetables Fruits Cereal/pasta Bread Oil Cake Chocolate Ice cream Burgers Hard cardies and lollipops Candies Pizza Snacks Peanuts Soft drinks Cold cuts Turnover Cookie sandwich Sandwich 29 Cereal bar Butter Cookies Avocado

Survey taker: Date:

### Annex 3 Survey on physical activity



Inter-Agency Program for Health Prevention and Education

"DR. Alberto Lubetkin"

UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE RIO CUARTO

IPEM No. 221 "SAN CARLOS"

CENTRO EDUCATI NO OTILIA E DE TOVAGLIARI

IARDIN DE INFANTES O. DE TOVAGLIARI
INSTITUTO FORMACIÓN DOCENTE M. SALOTI
INSTITUTO FORMACIÓN DOCENTE M. SALOTI
INSTITUTO FORMACIÓN DOCENTE M. SALOTI
ILABORATORIO DE ANÁLISIS CLINICOS JOVITA
MUNICIPALITY OF JOVITA

General Paz 75 – 5127 Jovita – Córdoba – Argentina / tel: 03384 – 498070 / e-mall address: pipes.jovita@gmail.com

Last and first names:

Date of birth:

School:

Class:

Section:

#### SURVEY ON PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

Mark with an X the corresponding answer. For example:

Sleep hours



Up to 8 hours a day



Up to 12 hours a day

Sleep hours	e P	Up to 8 hours a day	8-10 hours a day	Up to 12 hours a day
TV or	A. C.	Up to 1 hour	1-2 hours	More than
computer hours		a day	a day	2 hours a day
Time devoted to	TO.	Less than 30 minutes a day	30-60 minutes a day	More than 60 minutes a day
Recreational activities		More than	1-2 hours	Less than
(games) (1)		2 hours a day	a day	1 hour a day
Organized sport activities (2)	Med Val	More than 3 hours a week	2-3 hours a week	Less than 2 hours a week
Number of blocks you		More than	10-20 blocks	Less than
walk or ride on your bike		20 blocks a day	a day	10 blocks a day

- (1) Any game, including playing soccer on the streets
- (2) Coached sport activity (soccer, volleyball, tennis, etc.)

Survey taker:

Date: